arXiv:1502.06158v1 [hep-ph] 22 Feb 2015

Some comments on high precision study of neutrino oscillations

S. M. Bilenky

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, R-141980, Russia
TRIUMF 4004 Wesbrook Mall, Vancouver BC, V6T 2A3 Canada

Abstract

I discuss some problems connected with the high precision study of neutrino oscillations. In the general case of n-neutrino mixing I derive a convenient expression for transition probability in which only independent terms (and mass-squared differences) enter. For three-neutrino mixing I discuss a problem of a definition of a large (atmospheric) neutrino mass-squared difference. I comment also possibilities to reveal the character of neutrino mass spectrum in future reactor neutrino experiments.

1 Introduction

The observation of neutrino oscillations in the atmospheric Super-Kamiokande [1], solar SNO [2], reactor KamLAND [3] and solar neutrino oscillation experiments [4, 5, 6] is one of the most important recent discovery in the particle physics.

Small neutrino masses, many orders of magnitude smaller than masses of other fundamental fermions, are an evidence of a beyond the Standard Model physics. One of the plausible scenario which allow to explain the smallness of neutrino masses is based on the assumption that small (Majorana) neutrino masses are generated by the lepton-number violating dimension five effective Lagrangian [7]. In this case neutrino masses are suppressed with respect to masses of leptons and quarks by the ratio of the electroweak scale $v = (\sqrt{2}G_F)^{-1/2} \simeq 246$ GeV and a scale $\Lambda \gg v$ of a new lepton number-violating physics.

Neutrino oscillation data can be described by the three-neutrino mixing

$$\nu_{lL}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} U_{li}\ \nu_{iL}(x). \quad (l = e, \mu, \tau) \tag{1}$$

Here $\nu_i(x)$ is the field of neutrinos (Dirac or Majorana) with mass m_i and U is the unitary 3×3 PMNS [8, 9, 10] mixing matrix.

In the framework of the three-neutrino mixing neutrino oscillations are characterized by two neutrino mass-squared differences Δm^2_{23} and Δm^2_{12}, three mixing angles θ_{12}, θ_{23}, θ_{13} and one CP phase δ. From the analysis of the data of neutrino oscillation experiments it was established that $\Delta m^2_{12} \ll \Delta m^2_{23}$, mixing angles θ_{23} and θ_{12} are large and mixing angle θ_{13} is small. The first information about the angle θ_{13} was obtained from the reactor CHOOZ experiment [11] in which only the upper bound $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} \leq 1 \cdot 10^{-1}$ was found.

First data of neutrino oscillation experiments were described by expressions for neutrino transition probabilities in the leading approximation which was based on the assumption that $\sin^2\theta_{13} = 0$. In this approximation oscillations in atmospheric and KamLAND (solar) regions are decoupled (see [12]): in the atmospheric region (atmospheric and long-baseline accelerator neutrino oscillation experiments) neutrino oscillations are two-neutrino $\nu_\mu \rightleftarrows \nu_\tau$ oscillations, in the solar region (the reactor KamLAND experiment) neutrino oscillations are $\bar\nu_e \rightleftarrows \bar\nu_{\mu,\tau}$ oscillations. From analysis of the atmospheric and long-baseline accelerator oscillation experiments parameters Δm^2_{23} and $\sin^2 2\theta_{23}$ were determined. From analysis of the data of the KamLAND and solar experiments another two neutrino oscillation parameters Δm^2_{12} and $\sin^2 2\theta_{12}$ were inferred. In the leading approximation the character of the neutrino mass spectrum and such important effect of the three-neutrino mixing as CP violation in the lepton sector can not be revealed.

With the measurement of the mixing angle θ_{13} in the reactor Daya Bay [13], RENO [14] and Double CHOOZ [15] experiments the situation with the study of neutrino oscillations drastically changed. The investigation of neutrino oscillations entered into high precision era, era of measurements of small, beyond the leading approximation effects which could allow to determine the character of the neutrino mass spectrum and to measure CP phase δ.

In this paper for the general case of the n-neutrino mixing we will derive a convenient expression for the neutrino transition probability in vacuum in which only independent terms (and mass-squared differences) enter.

In different papers large (atmospheric) neutrino mass-squared difference is determined differently. Difference between different definitions is small (a few %) but in the era of precision measurements apparently it is desirable to have one unified definition. The expression for transition probability we will present here provides natural framework for introduction of two independent neutrino mass-squared differences in the case of the three-neutrino mixing.

Determination of the character of the neutrino mass spectrum is one of the major aim of future reactor neutrino experiments JUNO [16] and RENO-50 [17]. On the basis of the proposed expression for the transition probability I will comment this possibility.

2 General expression for neutrino transition probability in vacuum

For the general case of the neutrino mixing

$$\nu_{\alpha L}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{3+n_s} U_{\alpha i}\ \nu_{iL}(x) \quad (\alpha = e, \mu, \tau, s_1, ...s_{n_s}) \tag{2}$$

we will derive here an expression for $\nu_\alpha \to \nu_{\alpha'}$ transition probability alternative to the standard one. Here n_s is the number of sterile neutrino fields, U is an unitary $(3+n_s)\times(3+n_s)$ mixing matrix, $\nu_i(x)$ is the field of neutrino with mass m_i.

From (2) and Heisenberg uncertainty relation it follows that normalized states of flavor ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ and sterile $\nu_{s_1}, \nu_{s_2}, ...$ neutrinos are described by *coherent superpositions* of the states of neutrinos with definite masses (see, for example, [18, 12, 19])

$$|\nu_\alpha\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} U^*_{\alpha i}\ |\nu_i\rangle. \tag{3}$$

Here $|\nu_i\rangle$ is the state of the left-handed neutrino with mass m_i, momentum $\vec{p}$ and energy $E_i = \sqrt{p^2+m_i^2} \simeq E + \frac{m_i^2}{2E}$ ($E = p$ is the energy of neutrino at $m_i \to 0$).

If at $t = 0$ flavor neutrino ν_α is produced, at the time t we have

$$|\nu_\alpha\rangle_t = \sum_{\alpha'} |\nu_{\alpha'}\rangle\langle\nu_{\alpha'}|e^{-iH_0t}|\nu_\alpha\rangle = \sum_{\alpha'} |\nu_{\alpha'}\rangle(\sum_i U_{\alpha' i}, e^{-iE_it}U^*_{\alpha i}) \tag{4}$$

where H_0 is the free Hamiltonian.

From (4) for the normalized probability of the $\nu_\alpha \to \nu_{\alpha'}$ transition we find the following expression

$$P(\nu_\alpha \to \nu_{\alpha'}) = |\sum_i U_{\alpha' i}, e^{-iE_it}U^*_{\alpha i}|^2 = \sum_i |U_{\alpha' i}|^2|U_{\alpha i}|^2 + 2\sum_{i>k}\mathrm{Re}(U_{\alpha' i}U^*_{\alpha i}U^*_{\alpha' k}U_{\alpha k}e^{-2i\Delta_{ki}}). \tag{5}$$

Here

$$\Delta_{ki} = \frac{\Delta m^2_{ki} L}{4E}, \tag{6}$$

where $\Delta m^2_{ki} = m^2_k - m^2_i$ and $L \simeq t$ is the neutrino source-detector distance.

Taking into account the unitarity of the mixing matrix U for the first term of the probability (5) we have

$$\sum_i |U_{\alpha' i}|^2 |U_{\alpha i}|^2 = \delta_{\alpha' \alpha} - 2 \sum_{i>k} \mathrm{Re}(U_{\alpha' i} U^*_{\alpha i} U^*_{\alpha' k} U_{\alpha k}). \tag{7}$$

From (5) and (7) for the $\overset{(-)}{\nu}_\alpha \to \overset{(-)}{\nu}_{\alpha'}$ transition probability we obtain the following *standard expression* (see [20, 21, 22])

$$\begin{aligned} P(\bar{\nu}_\alpha \to \bar{\nu}_{\alpha'}) &= \delta_{\alpha' \alpha} - 4 \sum_{i>k} \mathrm{Re}\ (U_{\alpha' i} U^*_{\alpha i} U^*_{\alpha' k} U_{\alpha k}) \sin^2 \Delta_{ki} \\ &\pm 2 \sum_{i>k} \mathrm{Im}\ (U_{\alpha' i} U^*_{\alpha i} U^*_{\alpha' k} U_{\alpha k}) \sin 2\Delta_{ki}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Let us stress that not all quantities in (8) are independent. For example, in the case of the three-neutrino mixing three mass-squared differences (8) are connected by the relation $\Delta m^2_{13} = \Delta m^2_{12} + \Delta m^2_{23}$. For $\alpha' \neq \alpha$ the quantities in the last term of (8) are connected by the relations $\mathrm{Im}\ (U_{\alpha' 2} U^*_{\alpha 2} U^*_{\alpha' 1} U_{\alpha 1}) = \mathrm{Im}\ (U_{\alpha' 3} U^*_{\alpha 3} U^*_{\alpha' 2} U_{\alpha 2}) = -\mathrm{Im}\ (U_{\alpha' 3} U^*_{\alpha 3} U^*_{\alpha' 1} U_{\alpha 1})$ which follow from the unitarity of the mixing matrix (see [21, 22]).

We will obtain here a simple expression for the neutrino transition probability in vacuum in which

- we will take into account that there is one arbitrary common phase in the transition amplitude,
- we will use the unitarity of the mixing matrix in the transition amplitude.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} P(\nu_\alpha \to \nu_{\alpha'}) &= |\sum_i U_{\alpha' i}, e^{-i(E_i - E_p)t} U^*_{\alpha i}|^2 = |\delta_{\alpha' \alpha} + \sum_{i \neq p} U_{\alpha' i}\ (e^{-2i\Delta_{pi}} - 1)\ U^*_{\alpha i}|^2 \\ &= |\delta_{\alpha' \alpha} - 2i \sum_{i \neq p} U_{\alpha' i}\ U^*_{\alpha i} e^{-i\Delta_{pi}} \sin \Delta_{pi}|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where p is an arbitrary fixed index.

From (9) we find

$$P(\nu_\alpha \to \nu_{\alpha'}) = \delta_{\alpha'\alpha} - 4\sum_{i\neq p} |U_{\alpha i}|^2(\delta_{\alpha'\alpha} - |U_{\alpha' i}|^2)\sin^2\Delta_{pi}$$

$$+8 \sum_{i>k;i,k\neq p} \mathrm{Re}(U_{\alpha' i}U^*_{\alpha i}U^*_{\alpha' k}U_{\alpha k}\; e^{-i(\Delta_{pi}-\Delta_{pk})})\sin\Delta_{pi}\sin\Delta_{pk}. \quad (10)$$

Finally, we obtain the following general expression for $\overset{(-)}{\nu}_\alpha \to \overset{(-)}{\nu}_{\alpha'}$ transition probability [23]

$$P(\overset{(-)}{\nu}_\alpha \to \overset{(-)}{\nu}_{\alpha'}) = \delta_{\alpha'\alpha} - 4\sum_{i\neq p} |U_{\alpha i}|^2(\delta_{\alpha'\alpha} - |U_{\alpha' i}|^2)\sin^2\Delta_{pi}$$

$$+8 \sum_{i>k;i,k\neq p} \mathrm{Re}\;(U_{\alpha' i}U^*_{\alpha i}U^*_{\alpha' k}U_{\alpha k})\cos(\Delta_{pi}-\Delta_{pk})\sin\Delta_{pi}\sin\Delta_{pk}$$

$$\pm 8 \sum_{i>k;i,k\neq p} \mathrm{Im}\;(U_{\alpha' i}U^*_{\alpha i}U^*_{\alpha' k}U_{\alpha k})\sin(\Delta_{pi}-\Delta_{pk})\sin\Delta_{pi}\sin\Delta_{pk}, \quad (11)$$

where sign + (-) refers to $\nu_\alpha \to \nu_{\alpha'}$ ($\bar\nu_\alpha \to \bar\nu_{\alpha'}$) transition.

In (11) only independent terms (and mass-squared differences) enter. For example, for the three-neutrino mixing there are only two independent mass-squared differences and one $i > k$ term in the transition probability (because $i, k \neq p$).

3 Three-neutrino oscillations

3.1 Atmospheric neutrino mass-squared difference? Flavor neutrino transition probability

From analysis of the neutrino oscillation data it follows that one mass-squared difference (atmospheric) is much larger than the other one (solar). Two neutrino mass spectra are possible in such a situation [1]

1. Neutrino spectrum with small mass-squared difference between lightest neutrinos (Normal spectrum, NS)

   $m_1 < m_2 < m_3, \quad \Delta m^2_{12} \ll \Delta m^2_{23}$

---

[1] Usually neutrinos with small mass-squared difference are called ν_1 and ν_2. It is assumed also that $m_2 > m_1$, i.e. that $\Delta m^2_{12} > 0$.

2. Neutrino spectrum with small mass-squared difference between heaviest neutrinos (Inverted spectrum, IS)

   $m_3 < m_1 < m_2, \quad \Delta m^2_{12} \ll |\Delta m^2_{13}|$

There are only two possibilities to introduce small (solar) Δm^2_S and large (atmospheric) Δm^2_A mass-squared differences in the framework of the approach we are advocating [2].

1.

$$\text{NS.} \quad \Delta m^2_{21} = -\Delta m^2_S, \quad \Delta m^2_{23} = \Delta m^2_A \ \ (p=2) \tag{12}$$

$$\text{IS.} \quad \Delta m^2_{12} = \Delta m^2_S, \quad \Delta m^2_{13} = -\Delta m^2_A \ \ (p=1) \tag{13}$$

2.

$$\text{NS.} \quad \Delta m^2_{12} = \Delta m^2_S, \quad \Delta m^2_{13} = \Delta m^2_A \ \ (p=1) \tag{14}$$

$$\text{IS.} \quad \Delta m^2_{21} = -\Delta m^2_S, \quad \Delta m^2_{23} = -\Delta m^2_A \ \ (p=2) \tag{15}$$

In all papers on neutrino oscillations mixing angles, CP phase and solar mass-squared difference are determined in same way. However, atmospheric mass-squared difference in different papers is determined differently. For example, (in terms of parameters introduced in 1.)

1. The Bari group determines large neutrino mass-squared difference as follows (see [24])

$$\Delta m^2 = \frac{1}{2}|\Delta m^2_{13} + \Delta m^2_{23}| = \Delta m^2_A + \frac{1}{2}\Delta m^2_S \tag{16}$$

2. The NuFit group determines the atmospheric mass-squared difference as in 2. (see [25])

$$\Delta m^2_{13} = \Delta m^2_A + \Delta m^2_S, \quad (NS), \quad \Delta m^2_{23} = -(\Delta m^2_A + \Delta m^2_S) \quad (IS). \tag{17}$$

3. In the T2K paper [26] the atmospheric mass-squared difference is determined as in 1.

---

[2] Notice that the first option corresponds to extraction of the phase connected with the intermediate neutrino mass in the expression (9) and second option corresponds to extraction of the phase connected with last mass.

4. In the MINOS paper [27] large mass-squared difference is determined as $|\Delta m^2_{23}|$ for both mass spectra. It is obvious, however, that Δm^2_{23} for NS and $|\Delta m^2_{23}|$ for IS are different quantities.

The difference between different "atmospheric neutrino mass-squared differences" is a few %. It is determined by the ratio $\frac{\Delta m^2_S}{\Delta m^2_A} \simeq 3 \cdot 10^{-2}$ and can not be neglected in the precision era. Apparently one definition is desirable.

We will choose here the option 1. From (11) in the case of normal and inverted neutrino mass spectra we have respectively

$$\begin{aligned}
&P^{NS}(\overset{(-)}{\nu}_l \to \overset{(-)}{\nu}_{l'}) = \delta_{l'l} - 4|U_{l3}|^2(\delta_{l'l} - |U_{l'3}|^2)\sin^2\Delta_A \\
&-4|U_{l1}|^2(\delta_{l'l} - |U_{l'1}|^2)\sin^2\Delta_S - 8\ \mathrm{Re}\ (U_{l'3}U^*_{l3}U^*_{l'1}U_{l1})\cos(\Delta_A + \Delta_S)\sin\Delta_A\sin\Delta_S \\
&\mp 8\ \mathrm{Im}\ (U_{l'3}U^*_{l3}U^*_{l'1}U_{l1})\sin(\Delta_A + \Delta_S)\sin\Delta_A\sin\Delta_S,
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&P^{IS}(\overset{(-)}{\nu}_l \to \overset{(-)}{\nu}_{l'}) = \delta_{l'l} - 4|U_{l3}|^2(\delta_{l'l} - |U_{l'3}|^2)\sin^2\Delta_A \\
&-4|U_{l2}|^2(\delta_{l'l} - |U_{l'2}|^2)\sin^2\Delta_S - 8\ \mathrm{Re}\ (U_{l'3}U^*_{l3}U^*_{l'2}U_{l2})\cos(\Delta_A + \Delta_S)\sin\Delta_A\sin\Delta_S \\
&\pm 8\ \mathrm{Im}\ (U_{l'3}U^*_{l3}U^*_{l'2}U_{l2})\sin(\Delta_A + \Delta_S)\sin\Delta_A\sin\Delta_S.
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Here

$$\Delta_{A,S} = \frac{\Delta m^2_{A,S} L}{4E}, \tag{20}$$

Thus, transition probabilities depend on "extreme values" of the elements of neutrino mixing matrix: $U_{l'1(3)}$ and $U_{l1(3)}$ in the NS case ($p = 2$) and $U_{l'2(3)}$ and $U_{l2(3)}$ in the IS case ($p = 1$). Difference in signs of the last terms of (18) and (19) is connected with signs in (12) and (13).

If CP is violated in the lepton sector in this case we have

$$P(\nu_l \to \nu_{l'}) \neq P(\bar\nu_l \to \bar\nu_{l'}) \quad (l' \neq l) \tag{21}$$

Let us determine the CP asymmetry

$$A^{CP}_{l'l} = P(\nu_l \to \nu_{l'}) - P(\bar\nu_l \to \bar\nu_{l'}) \tag{22}$$

The CP asymmetry satisfies the following general conditions

$$A^{CP}_{l'l} = -A^{CP}_{ll'}. \tag{23}$$

and

$$\sum_{l'} A^{CP}_{l'l} = 0. \tag{24}$$

The first condition follows from the relation

$$P(\nu_l \to \nu_{l'}) = P(\bar{\nu}_{l'} \to \bar{\nu}_l) \tag{25}$$

which is a consequence of the CPT invariance. The second condition follows from the conservation of the probability

$$\sum_{l'} P(\nu_l \to \nu_{l'}) = \sum_{l'} P(\bar{\nu}_l \to \bar{\nu}_{l'}) = 1. \tag{26}$$

From (23) and (24) it follows that in the case of the three-neutrino mixing CP asymmetries in different flavor channels are connected by the following relations [28]

$$A^{CP}_{\mu e} = A^{CP}_{e\tau} = -A^{CP}_{\mu\tau}. \tag{27}$$

From (18) in the case NS we have

$$A^{CP}_{l'l} = -16 \ \mathrm{Im} \ U_{l'3} U^*_{l3} U^*_{l'1} U_{l1} \sin(\Delta_A + \Delta_S) \sin\Delta_A \sin\Delta_S. \tag{28}$$

For IS from (19) we find

$$A^{CP}_{l'l} = 16 \ \mathrm{Im} \ U_{l'3} U^*_{l3} U^*_{l'2} U_{l2} \sin(\Delta_A + \Delta_S) \sin\Delta_A \sin\Delta_S. \tag{29}$$

In the next subsections we will present expressions for transition probabilities which are of experimental interest. For that we will use the standard parametrization of the PMNS mixing matrix

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} c_{13}c_{12} & c_{13}s_{12} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -c_{23}s_{12} - s_{23}c_{12}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{12} - s_{23}s_{12}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{13}s_{23} \\ s_{23}s_{12} - c_{23}c_{12}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -s_{23}c_{12} - c_{23}s_{12}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{13}c_{23} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{30}$$

Here $c_{12} = \cos\theta_{12}$, $s_{12} = \sin\theta_{12}$ etc.

3.2 $\bar{\nu}_e \to \bar{\nu}_e$ survival probability

Expressions for the three-neutrino $\bar{\nu}_e$ survival probabilities are important for analysis of the data of the reactor neutrino experiments. From (18) and (19) for normal and inverted mass ordering we have respectively

$$P^{\rm NS}(\bar\nu_e\to\bar\nu_e)=1-4\ |U_{e3}|^2(1-|U_{e3}|^2)\ \sin^2\Delta_A$$
$$-4\ |U_{e1}|^2(1-|U_{e1}|^2)\ \sin^2\Delta_S$$
$$-8\ |U_{e3}|^2|U_{e1}|^2\ \cos(\Delta_A+\Delta_S)\sin\Delta_A\sin\Delta_S. \tag{31}$$

and

$$P^{\rm IS}(\bar\nu_e\to\bar\nu_e)=1-4\ |U_{e3}|^2(1-|U_{e3}|^2)\ \sin^2\Delta_A$$
$$-4\ |U_{e2}|^2(1-|U_{e2}|^2)\ \sin^2\Delta_S$$
$$-8\ |U_{e3}|^2|U_{e2}|^2\ \cos(\Delta_A+\Delta_S)\sin\Delta_A\sin\Delta_S. \tag{32}$$

Using the standard parametrization of the PMNS mixing matrix (30) from (18) and (19) for NS and IS we have respectively

$$P^{\rm NS}(\bar\nu_e\to\bar\nu_e)=1-\sin^2 2\theta_{13}\sin^2\Delta_A$$
$$-(\sin^2 2\theta_{12}c^2_{13}+\sin^2 2\theta_{13}c^4_{12})\ \sin^2\Delta_S$$
$$-2\sin^2 2\theta_{13}c^2_{12}\ \cos(\Delta_A+\Delta_S)\sin\Delta_A\sin\Delta_S. \tag{33}$$

and

$$P^{\rm IS}(\bar\nu_e\to\bar\nu_e)=1-\sin^2 2\theta_{13}\sin^2\Delta_A$$
$$-(\sin^2 2\theta_{12}c^2_{13}+\sin^2 2\theta_{13}s^4_{12})\ \sin^2\Delta_S$$
$$-2\sin^2 2\theta_{13}s^2_{12}\ \cos(\Delta_A+\Delta_S)\sin\Delta_A\sin\Delta_S. \tag{34}$$

Notice that $P^{\rm IS}(\bar\nu_e\to\bar\nu_e)$ can be obtained from $P^{\rm NS}(\bar\nu_e\to\bar\nu_e)$ by the change $c^2_{12}\to s^2_{12}$.

3.3 $\nu_\mu\to\nu_e$ $(\bar\nu_\mu\to\bar\nu_e)$ appearance probability

Vacuum three-neutrino expressions for $\overset{(-)}{\nu}_\mu\to\overset{(-)}{\nu}_e$ transition probabilities are important for analysis of the data of long baseline accelerator experiments in which matter effects are negligible. From (18) and (19) we have

$$P^{\rm NS}(\overset{(-)}{\nu}_\mu\to\overset{(-)}{\nu}_e)=4\ |U_{e3}|^2|U_{\mu3}|^2\ \sin^2\Delta_A$$
$$+4\ |U_{e1}|^2|U_{\mu1}|^2\ \sin^2\Delta_S$$
$$-8\ {\rm Re}\ (U_{e3}U^*_{\mu3}U^*_{e1}U_{\mu1})\ \cos(\Delta_A+\Delta_S)\sin\Delta_A\sin\Delta_S$$
$$\mp 8\ {\rm Im}\ (U_{e3}U^*_{\mu3}U^*_{e1}U_{\mu1})\ \sin(\Delta_A+\Delta_S)\sin\Delta_A\sin\Delta_S. \tag{35}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&P^{\rm IS}(\overset{(-)}{\nu}_\mu \to \overset{(-)}{\nu}_e) = 4\ |U_{e3}|^2|U_{\mu3}|^2\ \ \sin^2\Delta_A\\ &+4\ |U_{e2}|^2|U_{\mu2}|^2\ \ \sin^2\Delta_S\\ &-8\ {\rm Re}\ (U_{e3}U^*_{\mu3}U^*_{e2}U_{\mu2})\ \ \cos(\Delta_A+\Delta_S)\sin\Delta_A\sin\Delta_S\\ &\pm 8\ {\rm Im}\ (U_{e3}U^*_{\mu3}U^*_{e2}U_{\mu2})\ \ \sin(\Delta_A+\Delta_S)\sin\Delta_A\sin\Delta_S.\end{aligned}\tag{36}$$

Using the standard parameterization of the PMNS mixing matrix in the case of NS we have

$$\begin{aligned}&P^{\rm NS}(\overset{(-)}{\nu}_\mu \to \overset{(-)}{\nu}_e) = \sin^2 2\theta_{13}s^2_{23}\sin^2\Delta_A\\ &+(\sin^2 2\theta_{12}c^2_{13}c^2_{23}+\sin^2 2\theta_{13}c^4_{12}s^2_{23}+Kc^2_{12}\cos\delta)\sin^2\Delta_S\\ &+(2\sin^2 2\theta_{13}s^2_{23}c^2_{12}+K\cos\delta)\ \ \cos(\Delta_A+\Delta_S)\sin\Delta_A\sin\Delta_S\\ &\mp 8J_{CP}\ \ \sin(\Delta_A+\Delta_S)\sin\Delta_A\sin\Delta_S.\end{aligned}\tag{37}$$

Here

$$K=\sin 2\theta_{12}\sin 2\theta_{13}\sin 2\theta_{23}c_{13}.\tag{38}$$

and

$$J_{CP}=\frac{1}{8}K\sin\delta\tag{39}$$

is the Jarlskog invariant [29].

In the case of the inverted neutrino mass spectrum we find

$$\begin{aligned}&P^{\rm IS}(\overset{(-)}{\nu}_\mu \to \overset{(-)}{\nu}_e) = \sin^2 2\theta_{13}s^2_{23}\sin^2\Delta_A\\ &+(\sin^2 2\theta_{12}c^2_{13}c^2_{23}+\sin^2 2\theta_{13}s^4_{12}s^2_{23}-Ks^2_{12}\cos\delta)\sin^2\Delta_S\\ &+(2\sin^2 2\theta_{13}s^2_{23}s^2_{12}-K\cos\delta)\ \ \cos(\Delta_A+\Delta_S)\sin\Delta_A\sin\Delta_S\\ &\mp 8J_{CP}\ \ \sin(\Delta_A+\Delta_S)\sin\Delta_A\sin\Delta_S.\end{aligned}\tag{40}$$

For the CP asymmetry in the case of NS (IS) we have

$$A^{CP}_{e\mu}=-16J_{CP}\ \ \sin(\Delta_A+\Delta_S)\sin\Delta_A\sin\Delta_S.\tag{41}$$

3.4 $\nu_\mu \to \nu_\mu$ ($\bar\nu_\mu \to \bar\nu_\mu$) survival probability

From (11) for $\overset{(-)}{\nu}_\mu \to \overset{(-)}{\nu}_\mu$ survival probability in the case of the normal and inverted mass ordering we have correspondingly

$$\begin{aligned}&P^{\rm NS}(\overset{(-)}{\nu}_\mu \to \overset{(-)}{\nu}_\mu) = 1-4\ |U_{\mu3}|^2(1-|U_{\mu3}|^2)\ \ \sin^2\Delta_A\\ &-4\ |U_{\mu1}|^2(1-|U_{\mu1}|^2)\ \ \sin^2\Delta_S\\ &-8\ |U_{\mu3}|^2|U_{\mu1}|^2\ \ \cos(\Delta_A+\Delta_S)\sin\Delta_A\sin\Delta_S.\end{aligned}\tag{42}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&P^{\mathrm{IS}}(\overset{(-)}{\nu}_{\mu} \to \overset{(-)}{\nu}_{\mu}) = 1 - 4\ |U_{\mu 3}|^2(1-|U_{\mu 3}|^2)\ \sin^2\Delta_A \\
&-4\ |U_{\mu 2}|^2(1-|U_{\mu 2}|^2)\ \sin^2\Delta_S \\
&-8\ |U_{\mu 3}|^2|U_{\mu 2}|^2\ \cos(\Delta_A+\Delta_S)\sin\Delta_A\sin\Delta_S.
\end{aligned}\tag{43}$$

Using standard parametrization of the PMNS matrix we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&P^{\mathrm{NS}}(\overset{(-)}{\nu}_{\mu} \to \overset{(-)}{\nu}_{\mu}) = 1 - (\sin^2 2\theta_{23}c_{13}^2 + \sin^2 2\theta_{13}s_{23}^4)\ \sin^2\Delta_A \\
&-4(c_{23}^2 s_{12}^2 + s_{23}^2 c_{12}^2 s_{13}^2 + \frac{K\cos\delta}{4c_{13}^2})(1 - c_{23}^2 s_{12}^2 - s_{23}^2 c_{12}^2 s_{13}^2 - \frac{K\cos\delta}{4c_{13}^2})\sin^2\Delta_S \\
&-2(\sin^2 2\theta_{23}c_{13}^2 s_{12}^2 + \sin^2 2\theta_{13}c_{12}^2 s_{23}^4 \\
&+K s_{23}^2\cos\delta)\ \cos(\Delta_A+\Delta_A)\sin\Delta_A\sin\Delta_S.
\end{aligned}\tag{44}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&P^{\mathrm{IS}}(\overset{(-)}{\nu}_{\mu} \to \overset{(-)}{\nu}_{\mu}) = 1 - (\sin^2 2\theta_{23}c_{13}^2 + \sin^2 2\theta_{13}s_{23}^4)\ \sin^2\Delta_A \\
&-4(c_{23}^2 c_{12}^2 + s_{23}^2 s_{12}^2 s_{13}^2 - \frac{K\cos\delta}{4c_{13}^2})(1 - c_{23}^2 c_{12}^2 - s_{23}^2 s_{12}^2 s_{13}^2 + \frac{K\cos\delta}{4c_{13}^2})\sin^2\Delta_S \\
&-2(\sin^2 2\theta_{23}c_{13}^2 c_{12}^2 + \sin^2 2\theta_{13}s_{12}^2 s_{23}^4 \\
&-K s_{23}^2\cos\delta)\ \cos(\Delta_A+\Delta_A)\sin\Delta_A\sin\Delta_S.
\end{aligned}\tag{45}$$

where K is given by the relation (38). Notice that in the case of long baseline experiments with $\Delta_A \simeq 1$ (MINOS, T2K) the term proportional to $\sin^2\Delta_S$ gives very small contribution to the probability ($\sin^2\Delta_S \simeq 10^{-3}$).

3.5 A comment on the possibility to reveal the character of neutrino mass spectrum in future reactor experiments

Dependence on the neutrino mass ordering of the probability of reactor $\bar\nu_e$'s to survive was noticed in the paper [30] in which reactor CHOOZ data were analyzed in the framework of three neutrino mixing. A reactor experiment with reactor-detector distance 20-30 km which could reveal the character of neutrino mass spectrum was proposed in [32, 33]. Later in numerous papers a possibility to determine the neutrino mass ordering in a intermediate baseline reactor experiment (~ 50 km) was analyzed in details (see [34] and references therein). Two reactor experiments JUNO [16] and RENO-50 [17], in which the neutrino mass ordering is planned to be determined, are at preparation at present.

The $\bar{\nu}_e \to \bar{\nu}_e$ survival probability (expressions (18) and (19)) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} &P(\bar{\nu}_e \to \bar{\nu}_e) = 1 - \sin^2 2\theta_{13} \sin^2 \Delta_A \\ &-4\, X(1-X)\, \sin^2 \Delta_S \\ &-8 \sin^2 \theta_{13} X\, \cos(\Delta_A + \Delta_S) \sin \Delta_A \sin \Delta_S. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

In the case of the normal and inverted mass spectra we have respectively

$$X = X_{NS} = \cos^2 \theta_{13} \cos^2 \theta_{12} \tag{47}$$

and

$$X = X_{IS} = \cos^2 \theta_{13} \sin^2 \theta_{12} \tag{48}$$

From the fit of the data that will be obtained in the reactor JUNO experiment after six years of data taking the parameters Δm^2_S, Δm^2_A and $\sin^2 2\theta_{12}$ will be determined with accuracy better than 1% (see, for example, [31]). In the Daya Bay experiment the parameter $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$ can be determined with accuracy $\sim$ 4%. Such a precision will, apparently, allow to distinguish the value $X \simeq 0.682$ (NS) from the value $X \simeq 0.295$ (IS) (we used best-fit values $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.302, \quad \sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.0227$).

4 Transitions of flavor neutrinos into sterile states

Data of atmospheric, solar, reactor and accelerator neutrino oscillation experiments are described by the three-neutrino mixing with two neutrino mass-squared differences $\Delta m^2_S \simeq 7.5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ eV^2 and $\Delta m^2_A \simeq 2.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$ eV^2. There exist, however, indications in favor of neutrino oscillations with mass-squared difference(s) about 1 eV^2. These indications were obtained in following short baseline neutrino experiments (with L ranging from a few meters to about 500 meters):

1. In the LSND experiment [35]. In this experiment neutrinos were produced in decays of π^+'s and μ^+'s. Appearance of $\bar{\nu}_e$'s (presumably

produced in the transition $\bar{\nu}_\mu \to \bar{\nu}_e$) were detected. In the MiniBooNE experiment [36, 37]. In this experiment an excess of low energy ν_e's ($\bar{\nu}'_e s$) was observed.

2. In the old reactor neutrino experiments. Data of these experiments were reanalyzed in [38]. In this new analysis recent calculations of the reactor neutrino flux [39, 40] was used.
3. In the calibration experiments, performed with radiative sources by the GALLEX [41] and SAGE [42] collaborations. In these experiments a deficit of ν_e's was observed.

In order to interpret these data in terms of neutrino oscillations it necessary to assume that in addition to the flavor neutrinos ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ exist also sterile neutrinos.

Let us consider first 3+1 scheme with three close neutrino masses m_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and forth mass m_4 separated from m_i by about 1eV gap. We will choose $p = 1$. In the region of $\frac{L}{E}$ sensitive to large neutrino mass-squared difference ($\frac{\Delta m^2_{14} L}{4E} \gtrsim 1$) we have $\Delta_{12} \simeq \Delta_{13} \simeq 0$. From (11) we find in this case

$$P(\overset{(-)}{\nu}_\alpha \to \overset{(-)}{\nu}_{\alpha'}) = \delta_{\alpha'\alpha} - 4|U_{\alpha 4}|^2(\delta_{\alpha'\alpha} - |U_{\alpha' 4}|^2)\sin^2\Delta_{14}. \tag{49}$$

From this expression for $\overset{(-)}{\nu}_\mu \to \overset{(-)}{\nu}_e$ appearance probability and $\overset{(-)}{\nu}_e \to \overset{(-)}{\nu}_e$ and $\overset{(-)}{\nu}_\mu \to \overset{(-)}{\nu}_\mu$ disappearance probabilities we have, respectively, the following expressions

$$P(\overset{(-)}{\nu}_\mu \to \overset{(-)}{\nu}_e) = \sin^2 2\theta_{e\mu}\sin^2\Delta_{14}, \tag{50}$$

$$P(\overset{(-)}{\nu}_e \to \overset{(-)}{\nu}_e) = 1 - \sin^2 2\theta_{ee}\sin^2\Delta_{14}, \tag{51}$$

$$P(\overset{(-)}{\nu}_\mu \to \overset{(-)}{\nu}_\mu) = 1 - \sin^2 2\theta_{\mu\mu}\sin^2\Delta_{14}. \tag{52}$$

Here

$$\sin^2 2\theta_{e\mu} = 4|U_{e4}|^2|U_{\mu 4}|^2,\ \sin^2 2\theta_{ee} = 4|U_{e4}|^2(1-|U_{e4}|^2),\ \sin^2 2\theta_{\mu\mu} = 4|U_{\mu 4}|^2(1-|U_{\mu 4}|^2). \tag{53}$$

Notice that the global analysis of all short baseline neutrino data [43, 44] revealed inconsistency (tension) of existing short baseline data.

Let us consider more complicated 3+2 scheme with 2 masses m_4 and m_5 separated from three close masses m_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) by about 1 eV gaps. We will choose $p = 1$. In the region of $\frac{L}{E}$ sensitive to large neutrino mass-squared differences Δm^2_{14} and Δm^2_{15} we have $\Delta_{12} \simeq \Delta_{13} \simeq 0$. From (11) we find the following expression for $\overset{(-)}{\nu}_l$ $(l = e, \mu)$ survival probability

$$\begin{aligned} P(\overset{(-)}{\nu}_l \to \overset{(-)}{\nu}_l) &= 1 - 4|U_{l4}|^2(1 - |U_{l4}|^2)\sin^2\Delta_{14} - 4|U_{l5}|^2(1 - |U_{l5}|^2)\sin^2\Delta_{15} \\ &+ 8\ |U_{l5}|^2|U_{l4}|^2\cos(\Delta_{15} - \Delta_{14})\sin\Delta_{15}\sin\Delta_{14}. \end{aligned} \qquad (54)$$

For the probability of the transitions $\overset{(-)}{\nu}_l \to \overset{(-)}{\nu}_{l'}$, $\; l' \neq l$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} P(\overset{(-)}{\nu}_l \to \overset{(-)}{\nu}_{l'}) &= 4|U_{l'4}|^2|U_{l4}|^2\sin^2\Delta_{14} + 4|U_{l'5}|^2|U_{l5}|^2\sin^2\Delta_{15} \\ &+8\ \mathrm{Re}\ (U_{l'5}U^*_{l5}U^*_{l'4}U_{l4})\cos(\Delta_{15} - \Delta_{14})\sin\Delta_{15}\sin\Delta_{14} \\ &\pm 8\ \mathrm{Im}\ (U_{l'5}U^*_{l5}U^*_{l'4}U_{l4})\sin(\Delta_{15} - \Delta_{14})\sin\Delta_{15}\sin\Delta_{14}. \end{aligned} \qquad (55)$$

5 Conclusion

Discovery of neutrino oscillations is one of the most important recent discovery in the particle physics. After the first stage of investigation of this new phenomenon now with the measurement of the small parameter $\sin^2\theta_{13} \simeq 2.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$ the era of precision study started. Such fundamental problems of neutrino masses and mixing as

- what is the ordering of neutrino masses (normal or inverted),
- what is the value of the CP phase δ,
- what are precise values (with accuracies better than 1%) of other oscillation parameters,
- is the number of massive neutrinos equal to the number of flavor neutrinos (three) or larger than three (are sterile neutrinos exist)

are planned to be solved by future neutrino oscillation experiments.

At the moment there is no consensus in definition of the large (atmospheric) neutrino mass-squared difference: in different experimental and theoretical papers this parameter is defined differently. Today it is not so important but with future precision different "atmospheric mass-squared differences" will distinguishable. I believe that universal definition must be accepted.

In this paper for the general case of n-neutrino mixing we propose convenient expression for neutrino transition probability in vacuum in which the unitarity of the mixing matrix is fully employed and freedom of the common phase is used. As a result only independent quantities (including mass-squared differences) enter into expression for the transition probability.

On the basis of the proposed expression I discuss the problem of the atmospheric neutrino mass-squared difference and comment a possibility to reveal the character of the neutrino mass spectrum in future reactor neutrino experiments.

I thank A. Olshevskiy and C. Giunti for useful discussions.

References

[1] Y. Fukuda *et al.*, Super-Kamiokande Collaboration , Phys. Rev.Lett. **81**(1998) 1562, arXiv: hep-ex/9807003.

[2] Q. R. Ahmad *et al.*, SNO Collaboration , Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (2002) 011301, arXiv: nucl-ex/0204008.

[3] T. Araki *et al.*, KamLAND Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94** (2005) 081801, arXiv:hep-ex/0406035.

[4] B. T. Cleveland *et al.*, Homestake Collaboration , Astrophys. J. **496** (1998) 505.

[5] M. Altmann *et al.*, GNO Collaboration , Phys. Lett. **B616** (2005) 174, arXiv: hep-ex/0504037.

[6] J. N. Abdurashitov *et al.*, SAGE Collaboration, J. Exp. Theor.Phys. **95**(2002) 181, arXiv: astro-ph/0204245.

[7] S. Weinberg S. Phys. Rev. Lett. **43** (1979) 1566.

[8] B. Pontecorvo, Sov. Phys. JETP **6** (1957) 429, [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 33, 549 (1957)].

[9] B. Pontecorvo, Sov. Phys. JETP **7** (1958) 172, [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 34, 247 (1958)].

[10] Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa and S. Sakata, Prog. Theor. Phys. **28** (1962) 870.

[11] M. Apollonio *et al.* [CHOOZ Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **466** (1999) 415, hep-ex/9907037.

[12] S. M. Bilenky, C. Giunti and W. Grimus, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **43** (1999) 1, hep-ph/9812360.

[13] F. An *et al.*, Daya Bay Collaboration , Phys.Rev.Lett. **112** (2014) 061801, arXiv:1310.6732 [hep-ex].

[14] S.-B. Kim *et al.*, RENO Collaboration , Phys. Rev. Lett. **108** (2012) 191802, arXiv:1204.0626 [hep-ex].

[15] Y. Abe *et al.*, Double Chooz Collaboration , Phys.Lett. **B723**, (2013) 66, arXiv:1301.2948 [hep-ex].

[16] Y. F. Li, Int. J. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser. **31** (2014) 1460300, arXiv:1402.6143 [physics.ins-det].

[17] S. B. Kim, arXiv:1412.2199 [hep-ex].

[18] S. M. Bilenky and S. T. Petcov, Rev. Mod. Phys. **59** (1987) 671 [Erratum-ibid. **61** (1989) 169] [Erratum-ibid. **60** (1988) 575].

[19] S. M. Bilenky and C. Giunti, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **16** (2001) 3931, hep-ph/0102320.

[20] M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia and M. Maltoni, Phys. Rept. **460** (2008) 1, arXiv:0704.1800 [hep-ph].

[21] C. Giunti and C. W. Kim, Fundamentals of Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics, Oxford, UK: Univ. Pr. (2007) 710 p

[22] S. Bilenky, Introduction to the physics of massive and mixed neutrinos, Lect. Notes Phys. **817** (2010) 1.

[23] S. M. Bilenky, arXiv:1208.2497 [hep-ph].

[24] F. Capozzi, E. Lisi and A. Marrone, Phys. Rev. D **89** (2014) 1, 013001, arXiv:1309.1638 [hep-ph].

[25] M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, M. Maltoni and T. Schwetz, JHEP **1411** (2014) 052, arXiv:1409.5439 [hep-ph].

[26] K. Abe *et al.*,T2K Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112** (2014) 18, 181801, arXiv:1403.1532 [hep-ex].

[27] P. Adamson *et al.*, MINOS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112** (2014) 191801, arXiv:1403.0867 [hep-ex].

[28] S. M. Bilenky and F. Niedermayer, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **34** (1981) 606 [Yad. Fiz. **34** (1981) 1091].

[29] C. Jarlskog, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55** (1985) 1039.

[30] S. M. Bilenky, D. Nicolo and S. T. Petcov, Phys. Lett. B **538** (2002) 77, hep-ph/0112216.

[31] M. He, arXiv:1310.7343 [physics.ins-det].

[32] S. T. Petcov and M. Piai, Phys. Lett. B **533** (2002) 94, hep-ph/0112074.

[33] S. Choubey, S. T. Petcov and M. Piai, Phys. Rev. D **68** (2003) 113006, hep-ph/0306017.

[34] Y. F. Li, J. Cao, Y. Wang and L. Zhan, Phys. Rev. D **88** (2013) 013008, arXiv:1303.6733 [hep-ex].

[35] A. A. Aguilar-Arevalo *et al.*, LSND Collaboration (A. Aguilar-Arevalo *et al.*), Phys. Rev. D **64** (2001) 112007, hep-ex/0104049.

[36] A. A. Aguilar-Arevalo *et al.*, MiniBooNE Collaboration , Phys.Rev.Lett. **110** (2013) 161801, arXiv:1303.2588 [hep-ex].

[37] J. M. Conrad, W. C. Louis, M. H. Shaevitz, Ann.Rev.Nucl.Part.Sci. **63** (2013) 45, arXiv:1306.6494 [hep-ex].

[38] G. Mention, M. Fechner, T. Lasserre, T. A. Mueller, D. Lhuillier, M. Cribier and A. Letourneau, Phys. Rev. D **83** (2011) 073006, arXiv:1101.2755 [hep-ex].

[39] Th. A. Mueller *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **C83** (2011) 054615, arXiv:1101.2663 [hep-ex].

[40] P. Huber, Phys. Rev. **C84** (2011) 024617, arXiv:1106.0687 [hep-ph].

[41] F. Kaether, W. Hampel, G. Heusser, J. Kiko and T. Kirsten, Phys. Lett. B **685** (2010) 47, arXiv:1001.2731 [hep-ex].

[42] J. N. Abdurashitov *et al.*, SAGE Collaboration , Phys. Rev. C **80** (2009) 015807, arXiv:0901.2200 [nucl-ex].

[43] C. Giunti, M. Laveder, Y. F. Li and H. W. Long, Phys. Rev. D **88** (2013) 073008, arXiv:1308.5288 [hep-ph].

[44] J. Kopp, P. A. N. Machado, M. Maltoni and T. Schwetz, JHEP **1305** (2013) 050, arXiv:1303.3011 [hep-ph].